

#	Request for Information	Responses
7	Section 1.2, xiv: What forms/questionnaires to be included in the SOQ need to be completed by the Prime vs the entire Design Build team?	The proposer should complete SOQ forms/questionnaires.
8	After reviewing the PASSport submission page, the 'Item tab' does not appear under manage response (for Subcontractor and JV tab), which seem to require before "Identify M/WBE" under that tab.	Please bypass the tab in question. At this stage in RFQ, MWBE participation goals are not required. However, please answer MWBE certification question, under Fundamental Qualification section, if you are certified.
9	Part B-2, Section G, iii: Does the Construction Employment Report need to be submitted by all member of the Design Build team?	The Proposer should complete the Employment Report Form and upload to the EEO Section of PASSPort.
10	Part B-2, Section H, ii: For included Reference Projects, can we include Design-Build projects that are currently under construction (not completed)?	Reference projects must be completed and cannot currently be under construction.
11	Part B-2, Section H, ii: "Required and Desirable Experience may be met by any of the reference projects and may reflect the work of any of the DB team members." However, since we are limited to a total of eight projects - two projects must be from the Design Lead and another two projects from the PD-of-Record, the question arises: can the remaining four projects be fulfilled by any member of the DB team or only firms providing Key Personnel?	Reference Projects have been increased from (8) to (10) reference projects per Addendum 3.
12	Part B-2, Section I, iii: If there are (8) Reference Projects in Section 2 and we have to use those same (8) Reference Projects in Tab 3's Project Team Summary Form, how can we add additional projects that demonstrate experience working together if there is a max total of up to 8 projects on the Project Team Summary Form?	Refer to RFI response 11

13	<p>Page 4 of Exhibit B of the RFQ states: (30) A "Qualified joint venture agreement" means a joint venture between one or more MBEs, WBEs, and/or EBEs and another person, in which the percentage of profit or loss to which the certified firm or firms is entitled or exposed for participation in the contract, as set forth in the joint venture agreement, is at least 25% of the total profit or loss. Three scenarios for those proposing as a Joint Venture: • If the Qualified Joint Venture is certified prior to the submission deadline, they can get the benefit of the 5% technical point preference. • If the Qualified Joint Venture is made up of firms that are already themselves individually certified, the JV can get the benefit of the 5% technical point preference. • If the Qualified JV is in part made up of non-certified firm(s) then the JV can NOT get the benefit of the 5% technical point preference. If a joint venture is made up of a certified MWBE with 25% or more participation in the contract with a noncertified nonMWBE partner, can this JV get the benefit of the 5% technical point preference?</p>	<p>If one or more of the persons part of the Qualified joint venture is a non-certified firm, then the JV team can NOT get the benefit of the 5% technical point preference</p>
14	<p>Is there any advantage to more than 25% M/WBE participation in a Qualified JV?</p>	<p>Please refer to RFQ, Exhibit B, Section D. i.</p>
15	<p>How is a Qualified Joint Venture certified? And is there a fast-track process to this certification so that it may be certified before the submission deadline? Or else, is a letter stating intent to that effect, acceptable?</p>	<p>"Qualified joint venture agreement" means a joint venture between <b>one or more</b> MBEs, WBEs, and/or EBEs and another person, in which the percentage of profit <i>or loss</i> to which the certified firm or firms is entitled <i>or exposed</i> for participation in the contract, as set forth in the joint venture agreement, is at least 25% of the total profit <i>or loss</i>. SBS has a fast-track process to certify firms. A letter stating intent is not acceptable.</p>

16	On Page 16 of Exhibit B of the RFQ - under Resiliency Specialist, Sustainability Specialist is noted, however a sustainability specialist is not noted as 1 of the 7 Key Personnel. Please Clarify. If a Sustainability Specialist is required, please indicate the minimum qualifications requirements & Additional Preferred Qualifications.	A Sustainability Specialist is not required as a Key Personnel in the RFQ step. References to a Sustainability Specialist have been removed from the RFQ; see Addendum 1.
17	Please define and clarify net-zero energy consumption as it relates to the RFQ.	The new buildings should aim to be net zero energy consumption as indicated in the RFQ (step I). Further information and clarification will be provided in the RFP (step II).
18	On page 20 of Exhibit B of the RFQ - the "Resume (Exhibit E)" is not listed, only "Resumes". Can we format the Resume portion of the SOQ as we wish, assuming the information needed is provided or is it intended that we use the Resume Template from Exhibit E?	It is intended that the Proposer use the Resume Template provided in Exhibit E
19	Based on page 9 of Exhibit B of the RFQ - If the Design Lead and Designer-of-Record are the same person - we would be required to show (4) reference projects representing the work of that person. Is it acceptable in this case, to show only (2) reference projects representing the work of the Design Lead and Designer-of-Record (when they are the same person) to reserve the extra space to highlight projects from other Key Personnel?	Two (2) reference projects are not acceptable.
20	Please define and clarify net-zero energy consumption as it relates to the RFQ.	Refer to RFI response 17
21	During the pre-submission conference, it was stated that: SOQ REQUIREMENTS2 Tab 3: Key Personnel and Team Organization At the RFQ step, not all team members required for the project will be identified. This allows shortlisted proposers to expand their teams at the RFP step to include other roles necessary to complete the work, allowing additional qualified sub-consultants and subcontractors to partner with shortlisted teams. However, if the strategy to meet MWBE requirements and experience working together on similar projects involves team members outside of Key Personnel, is it acceptable to name team members or is this disallowed?	It is acceptable to identify team members outside of Key Personnel. Only Key Personnel will be evaluated; additional members identified will not be evaluated. Refer to RFQ, Exhibit B, Section I

22	The pre-submission conference & RFQ state that there is to be simultaneous delivery of all project sites. Will there be any opportunity or possibility to sequence or stagger delivery to any degree?	It is possible that delivery of the project sites may be sequenced or staggered.
23	On the Project Relevancy Form under the Innovation in Construction category – can you further define “delivery of multiple sites simultaneously.” IE: would multiple sites include the turnover of multiple floors at a specific time?	Delivery of multiple sites simultaneously is to clarify that this is a multi-site project and delivery method will not be one site at a time.
24	On the Project Relevancy Form under the Excellence in Urban Space category, can you further define “promote connection to nature in the city through urban spaces.” IE: If the space is a building (an urban space rather than a park/green space) what type of connection is being sought? Is it the use of greenery within the space, exterior landscaping, etc.?	For the Excellence in Urban Space category, the Proposer's reference project(s) should demonstrate connection to nature in a city park or urban space. This may include, but is not limited to, connections achieved through greenery, exterior landscaping, strategic siting, and welcoming design.
25	Do reference projects need to be submitted for all key personnel?	Reference projects need to be submitted for Key Personnel where Required Experience and Minimum Qualification Requirements are indicated. Please refer to RFQ, Exhibit B, Section H and Section I
26	Could you please clarify whether designs incorporating modular or prefabricated elements are being considered as viable options for this project? If yes, could you please provide more details on which aspects of the project these designs could be applied to?	Modular or prefabricated elements are not being considered at the RFQ (step I). Further information will be provided in the RFP (step II)