

#	Request for Information	Answers
31	Is it mandatory to use the resume template in Exhibit E or can we use our company resume for key personnel?	Yes, the resume template should be used.
32	Exhibit E Resume Template Page 1 of 2 (page 27 of Exhibit E) - the form notes 'Project Description' right above the large area to be filled in by the applicant (below Role/Project Name/Agency or Authority/Value). Can you confirm that this is intended to be a Description of Work Experience, rather than a description of a project? Or provide some additional guidance as to what is to be included in 'Project Description'?	The Project Description section is intended to be a description of the project and may include a description of work experience
33	Addendum 3 On the Project Relevancy Form, the text was updated to reference ten rather than eight projects but the grid below only has 8 columns, can it be updated to reflect the 10 projects? The same applies to the Project Team Summary form.	Please see Addendum 6
34	In the PASSport system, Factor 2, the first question has "sub-weighting for design lead" with a drop down to select a Y/N response. Can you advise what sub-weighting is?	As part of the Factor 2 overall weight of 30%, 10% is set aside as sub-weight under that factor.
35	RFP, Exhibit B, Section B.ii(1) - Can a font size smaller than 10 pt be used on the organizational chart?	Font size can be smaller for Org Chart, but should be reasonably readable to a reader
36	"RFP, Exhibit B, Section B.ii.(2) In the footer, it states the company name and address but be used. Does the enter mailing address need to be used or just City and State? (concerned for space and readability of font used) Should the E-PIN # also be included in the footer or only the SOQ submittal date? IF the footer isn't required, do Page #s need to be on document?"	The section states: (2) Provide consecutive page numbering. In footer of each page, provide - Name, address, SOQ due date. That is the requirement as stated in the RFQ. The font may be smaller for footer, however, should be reasonably readable to a reader

37	PASSport Submission & Exhibit B SOQ Checklist and Page Count - The Doing Business Data Form is listed on the SOQ Checklist under B: Document 2. Please confirm the form only gets uploaded into PASSport and there is not a physical 2nd PDF we are uploading along with our technical proposal (Document 1)	Exhibit B, Part B-2, A, ii. Submittal Content and delivery, states SOQ and DBDF are TWO separate documents. Accordingly, in passport, there are separate uploads requirements for each document.
38	Addendum 5, Q #22 We understand that the individual projects in the Public Restroom Bundle will be done simultaneously. However On the Project Relevancy Form under the Innovation in Construction category, it is very limiting to have that question apply only to projects that involve multiple freestanding structures. Since it is prevalent in urban construction projects to have large-scale projects that involve simultaneous construction of multiple floors of a building, would a project of that nature satisfy the requirement as long as there was some innovation in managing/completing the project?	To meet requirements, the Reference Project listed for the Innovation in Construction category must involve simultaneous construction of work at multiple sites (at least 2)
39	PASSport Submission - On the documents that are being separately uploaded into the PASSport system, is it the preference to have the company footer on those documents or does it not matter? (IE: those not incorporated in our technical proposal)	SOQ should have the required footer per RFQ.
40	"Addendum 5, Q #27 Would like to request that the DDC re-evaluate the decision not to provide a few additional days for the SOQ due date since we potentially will not see answers to all RFI questions until Friday, 3/1. There has been enough clarification of information that we have been waiting in limbo to received and act upon since Addendum 5 was issued and with the remaining questions to be answered and the additional questions submitted by 2/28, we feel it is to the benefit of the DDC that the teams are given a few additional days to best incorporate and review their submissions rather than potentially rush and submit on 3/7/2024."	Please see addendum 6